

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT CHARTER SCHOOLS DIVISION

AFFILIATED CHARTER SCHOOL ANNUAL PERFORMANCE-BASED OVERSIGHT VISIT REPORT 2024-2025

Name and Location Code of Affiliated Charter School

LAUSD Vision

Los Angeles Unified will be the premier public school district by eliminating educational inequities to graduate ALL students ready for the world – to thrive in college, career, and life.

CSD MISSION

The LAUSD Charter Schools Division (CSD) fosters high quality educational opportunities and outcomes for students in the greater Los Angeles community through exemplary charter public school authorizing, oversight, and sharing of promising practices so that all students maximize their potential.

CSD CORE VALUES

We believe that our success depends on:

- Making decisions that put the interests of students first.
- Serving with high expectations, integrity, professionalism, and commitment.
- Employing authentic, responsive, and effective leadership and teamwork.
- Continuously learning as a dynamic organization.
- Building and sustaining a healthy workplace culture where high performance, diversity, and creativity thrive.
- Developing productive relationships with charter schools and all stakeholders.

This version of the CSD Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report has been modified to consider the operational nature of District affiliated charter schools. District affiliated charter schools, programs, staffing, special education, fiscal practices, and other operations are primarily supervised and/or monitored by various other departments and offices of the District as part of standard LAUSD operations.

SCHO	OL	NAME:
DATE	OF	VISIT:

Charter School Name:			Location Code:
Current Address:			Phone:
Current Term of Charter ¹ :	July 1, 20xx to June 30, 20xx	LAUSD Board District:	LAUSD Region:
Enrollment Capacity Per Charter:	Number of Students Currently Enrolled:	Grades To Be Served Per Charter:	Grades Currently Served:
Charter School's Leadership Team Members:			
CSD Assigned Administrator:	:		
Other Participants(s):			
Oversight Visit Date:			

SUMMARY OF RATINGS ² $(4)=Accomplished (3)=Proficient (2)=Developing (1)=Unsatisfactory$		
School Governance	Student Achievement and Educational Performance	Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations
Choose a rating	Choose a rating	Choose a rating

² Affiliated charter schools must follow all the fiscal policies and procedures of the Los Angeles Unified School District. All financial operations are handled centrally by LAUSD. All affiliated charter schools are included in the District audit. Therefore, the CSD does not provide ratings on Fiscal Operations for affiliated charter schools.

Page 2 of 19

¹ Education Code section 47607.4 provides that "all charter schools whose term expires on or between January 1, 2022, and June 30, 2025, inclusive, shall have their term extended by two years, and all charter schools whose term expires on or between January 1, 2024, and June 30, 2027, inclusive, shall have their term extended by one additional year."

² Affiliated sharter schools whose term expires on or between January 1, 2024, and June 30, 2027, inclusive, shall have their term extended by one additional year."

CHARTER RENEWAL CRITERIA

SCHOOL NAME:

DATE OF VISIT:

In accordance with Education Code §§ 47605, 47607, and 47607.2, in order to renew a charter, the District must determine whether the charter school has met the statutory requirements.

REPORT GUIDE

In conducting oversight as a charter school authorizer, the District places an emphasis on performance and compliance with applicable law, policy, and the approved charter, as well as on the lead fiduciary role of a charter school's governing board in the overall success of the school for students. Information gathered through oversight serves as part of the school's ongoing record of performance and provides important data for the CSD, LAUSD Superintendent, and ultimately the LAUSD Board of Education in making informed decisions about charter school authorization. In designing this document, the District has considered California charter school law as well as the *LAUSD Policy and Procedures for Charter Schools*, California State Board of Education's criteria for evaluating charter schools and the National Association of Charter School Authorizers' *Principles and Standards of Quality Authorizing*. It also serves as information for the public at large. This reporting tool provides guidelines and criteria used by the CSD to observe, record, assess, and reflect with the charter school on school performance, as captured during the oversight visit, in these three categories:

- <u>School Governance</u> demonstrating compliance with all applicable California open meeting laws as well as the school's organizational structure (i.e., composition, structure, roles, and responsibilities of Governing Council and/or advisory committees) and applicable District policies and Collective Bargaining Agreements
- <u>Student Achievement and Educational Performance</u> demonstrating positive academic achievement and growth for all students
- <u>Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations</u> demonstrating effective leadership and implementation of the District's policies and procedures, as well as the school's educational program and systems and procedures for the day-to-day operations of the school

This report, including the ratings in each category, is based on information and evidence gathered at the time of the annual oversight visit. The CSD considers evidence provided through CSD staff observations, document review, interviews, and discussion with school leadership and stakeholders. All charter schools are expected to prepare for the visit and have available, as applicable, all documentation requested in the *Affiliated Charter Schools Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Preparation Guide*. The "Sources of Evidence" sections below identify key information sources generally relevant to their respective indicators; these lists are not exhaustive, however, and some items may not be applicable to the grades served. Schools may present additional evidence as deemed relevant and appropriate. As needed, CSD staff also may request additional information and/or documentation prior to, during, and/or following the visit.

The tool employs the following four-point rubric to rate the school's performance in each category: (4) Accomplished, (3) Proficient, (2) Developing, and (1) Unsatisfactory. In addition, the Summary of School Performance section in each category captures key findings under one or more of the following headings: (1) Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress; (2) Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement; and, if applicable, (3) Corrective Action Required. Under "Corrective Action Required," the CSD reports findings of material noncompliance with applicable law, LAUSD charter policy, or the school's approved charter. If the report includes any findings under "Corrective Action Required," the charter school must take immediate and appropriate steps to remedy the identified concern. In accordance with its "tiered intervention" approach to charter school non-compliance and poor performance, the CSD may also send the school appropriate notices, separate and apart from this report, to provide and document time-specific follow-up as necessary. At the other end of the spectrum of performance, any school that earns a rating of Accomplished in any category is encouraged to submit to the CSD a summary of those "promising practices" that the school believes have contributed to its success, in order to support the CSD's ongoing efforts to promote and facilitate reciprocal sharing of promising practices among education leaders from across the entire portfolio of LAUSD schools.

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 3 of 19

SCHOOL GOVERNANCE This section provides an overview of the charter school's compliance with all applicable California open meeting laws, the school's organizational structure (i.e., composition, structure, roles, and responsibilities of the Governance Council) in accordance with applicable District policies and Collective Bargaining Agreements, and responsive and accountable governance at the school.	KAIIVI-
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress	
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement	
Corrective Action Required	
Notes:	
Progress on LAUSD Board of Education Benchmarks and/or MOU related to SCHOOL GOVERNANCE ☐ Not Applicable	
*RATING NOTE: A charter school cannot receive a rating greater than three (3) in this category if it receives an overall rating of two (2) or one (1) in an (Student Achievement and Educational Performance; or Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations).	y other category

Page 4 of 19



Affiliated Charter School Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

GI: CALIFORNIA OPEN MEETING LAWS			
The Governance Council has a system in place to ensure it is adhering to all applicable open meeting requirements, which protect the public interest in transparency and help to ensure that decisions are made without apparent or actual conflicts of interest:			
• Governance Council <i>meetings</i> occur regularly, are conducted openly, and provide opportunity for public participation in accordance with all applicable California open meeting laws as outlined in Ed. Code, § 47604.1			
• Governance Council <i>meeting</i> agendas that contain adequate item descriptions and are posted on the school website and on campus in accordance with all applicable California open meeting laws			
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence		
☐ The Governance Council complies with all material provisions of all applicable	☐ Governance Council meeting agendas		
California open meeting laws			
	☐ Governance Council meeting minutes		
☐ The Governance Council complies with most material provisions of all applicable	☐ Governance Council meeting minutes ☐ Governance Council sign-in sheets		
☐ The Governance Council complies with most material provisions of all applicable California open meeting laws	e		
 □ The Governance Council complies with most material provisions of all applicable California open meeting laws □ The Governance Council complies with some material provisions of all applicable 	☐ Governance Council sign-in sheets		
 □ The Governance Council complies with most material provisions of all applicable California open meeting laws □ The Governance Council complies with some material provisions of all applicable California open meeting laws 	☐ Governance Council sign-in sheets ☐ Documentation of the school's agenda posting procedures		
 □ The Governance Council complies with most material provisions of all applicable California open meeting laws □ The Governance Council complies with some material provisions of all applicable 	☐ Governance Council sign-in sheets ☐ Documentation of the school's agenda posting procedures ☐ All applicable California open meeting laws training		

G2: SCHOOL GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

The Governance Council has implemented the organizational structure, roles and responsibilities set forth in the approved charter, including:		
 Governance Council (composition, structure, roles and responsibilities) 		
• Mandated councils/committees (e.g., School Site Council and English Learner Advisory Committee), as applicable, in accordance with District policy		
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence	

☐ Other: (Specify)

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 5 of 19



SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

CROP FOR THE WORLD	•
 □ The Governance Council has fully implemented the organizational structure set forth in the approved charter, including any mandated committees/councils, in accordance with District policy □ The Governance Council has substantially implemented the organizational structure set forth in the approved charter, including any mandated committees/councils, in accordance with District policy □ The Governance Council has partially implemented the organizational structure set forth in the approved charter, including any mandated committees/councils, in accordance with District policy □ The Governance Council has not implemented the organizational structure set forth in the approved charter, including any mandated committees/councils, in accordance with District policy 	☐ Governance Council roster ☐ Mandated councils/committees roster(s), as applicable ☐ Self-Reflection ☐ School website ☐ Discussion with school leadership ☐ Consultation with Local District and/or stakeholders ☐ Other: (Specify)
G3: RESPONSIVE AND ACCOUNTABLE SCHOOL GOVERNANCE	
The school, through its Governance Council and other governance structures, has syst	ems in place to ensure:
 Review and use of academic performance data (e.g., CA School Dashboard, interinform decision-making in support of the District's Strategic Plan, the school's agrowth, and overall public school excellence 	

- Transparent and responsive governance including consideration of input from the school's committees/councils and stakeholders

Performance Rubric □ The school, through its governance structures, regularly considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and takes appropriate action to achieve positive measurable pupil outcomes (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) □ The school, through its governance structures, considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) □ The school, through its governance structures, inconsistently considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) □ The school, through its governance structures, inconsistently considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) □ The school, through its governance structures, seldom considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) □ The school, through its governance structures, seldom considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) □ The school, through its governance structures, seldom considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) □ The school, through its governance structures, seldom considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) □ The school, through its governance structures, seldom considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) □ The school, through its governance structures, reputation plans and use of resources) □ The school, through its governance structures, reput	Transparent and responsive governance, including consideration of input from the school's committees/councils and stakeholders	
performance data, stakeholder input, and takes appropriate action to achieve positive measurable pupil outcomes (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) The school, through its governance structures, considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) The school, through its governance structures, inconsistently considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) The school, through its governance structures, inconsistently considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving The school, through its governance structures, seldom considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving The school, through its governance structures, seldom considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving	Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence
	performance data, stakeholder input, and takes appropriate action to achieve positive measurable pupil outcomes (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) ☐ The school, through its governance structures, considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) ☐ The school, through its governance structures, inconsistently considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving action plans and use of resources) ☐ The school, through its governance structures, seldom considers school performance data, stakeholder input, and other data to inform decision-making (e.g., approving	materials demonstrating review of the following: Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) School data Input from council(s)/committee(s) Additional school plans, as applicable Self-Reflection School website Discussion with school leadership Stakeholder focus group(s)

Page 6 of 19 Revised 12/12/2024



SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE This section provides an overview of the academic achievement and progress of all students at the charter school.	RATING*
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating
California Department of Education's (CDE) Charter School's Performance Category (as published in 2025)	Performance Category
The state identified the charter school as qualifying for Differentiated Assistance (DA)? The state identified the charter school as qualifying for Differentiated Assistance (DA)? Differentiated Assistance is technical assistance provided to Local Education Agencies (LEA) that the state has identified for underperformance support that is available to LEAs that meet the eligibility requirements set by the State Board of Education (SBE).	e. DA is targeted
The charter school is a state-identified school under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). □YES □NO If yes, the school's identification: (See additional information within "Notes" section below) □ Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) □ Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI)	
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement Corrective Action Required	
Local Indicators: Dashboard Year 2024 Basic Services and Conditions: Choose an item. Implementation of State Academic Standards: Choose an item. Parent and Family Engagement: Choose an item. School Climate: Choose an item. Access to a Broad Course of Study: Choose an item.	
Notes: Charter School's 2024-2025 Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Assistance Status: Comprehensive Support and Improvement – Low Graduation Rate (CSI- Low Grad) Comprehensive Support and Improvement – Low Performance (CSI- Low Perform) Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI)	

Page 7 of 19 Revised 12/12/2024



SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

☐ Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI)
□ No Status
2024 LCFF Charter School Assistance Eligibility:
☐ General Assistance (Level 1) - resources and assistance that is made available to all local educational agencies
☐ Differentiated Assistance (Level 2) - local educational agencies that meet certain eligibility criteria for additional support
For 2023-2024:
The school's reclassification rate is% as compared to the state's rate of%
The school's percent of "At Risk" ELs is% as compared to the state's percent of%
The school's percent of "LTELs" is% as compared to the state percent of%
Progress on LAUSD Board of Education Benchmarks and/or MOU related to STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE
□ Not Applicable
RATING NOTE: A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than a one (1) if the school has been identified as a Low Performing
charter school based on the state's published list.

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 8 of 19

A1: ALL STUDENTS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (ELA) INDICATOR- (GRADES 3-8 & 11)

AL STUDENTS ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (ELA) INDICATOR- (GRADES 5-8 & 11)		
The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the ac	hievement gap, as measured by:	
California School Dashboard All Students ELA Indicator (CDE)		
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students ELA Indicator color is Blue	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE)	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students ELA Indicator color is Green; or a Status (DFS)	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD)	
that is the same or higher than the state average	☐ Other: (Specify)	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students ELA Indicator color is Yellow or Orange; and a		
Status (DFS) that is lower than the state average		
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students ELA Indicator color is Red		
☐ Not Available - No color assigned on the California School Dashboard for the ELA Indicator		
A2: STUDENT GROUP ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (ELA) INDICATOR- (GRADES 3-8 &	: 11)	
The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the ac	0 17	
California School Dashboard ELA Indicator for Numerically Significant Student Groups (30)	or more students) (CDE)	
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
☐ All numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE)	
statewide average (Status/DFS)	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD)	
☐ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective	☐ Other: (Specify)	
student group statewide average (Status/DFS)	(-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1,	
☐ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their		
respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS)		
☐ None of the school's numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective		
student group statewide average (Status/DFS)		
☐ Not Available - No assessment of performance on the California School Dashboard for this indicator		
A3: ALL STUDENTS MATH INDICATOR- (GRADES 3-8 & 11)		
The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:		
California School Dashboard All Students Math Indicator (CDE)		
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence	

Page 9 of 19

OS A	GELES UMIEITO
A	
READY	FOR THE WORLD

Affiliated Charter School Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Math Indicator color is Blue	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE)
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Math Indicator color is Green; or a Status (DFS)	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD)
that is the same or higher than the state average	☐ Other: (Specify)
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Math Indicator color is Yellow or Orange; and a	
Status (DFS) that is lower than the state average	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Math Indicator color is Red	
☐ Not Available - No color assigned on the California School Dashboard for the Math	
Indicator	
A4: STUDENT GROUP MATH INDICATOR- (GRADES 3-8 & 11)	
The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the ac	chievement gap, as measured by:
California School Dashboard Math Indicator for Numerically Significant Student Groups (30)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence
☐ All numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE)
statewide average (Status/DFS)	Office of Data & Associate Little Data Cat (LALICD)
statewide average (Status/DFS)	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD)
☐ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD) ☐ Other: (Specify)
☐ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 ☐ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) ☐ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 □ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 □ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ None of the school's numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 □ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ None of the school's numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 □ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ None of the school's numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Not Available - No assessment of performance on the California School Dashboard for this 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 □ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ None of the school's numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 □ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ None of the school's numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Not Available - No assessment of performance on the California School Dashboard for this 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 □ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ None of the school's numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Not Available - No assessment of performance on the California School Dashboard for this indicator 	□ Other: (Specify)
 □ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ None of the school's numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Not Available - No assessment of performance on the California School Dashboard for this indicator A5: ALL STUDENTS ENGLISH LEARNER PROGRESS INDICATOR (ELPI) 	□ Other: (Specify)
 □ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ None of the school's numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/DFS) □ Not Available - No assessment of performance on the California School Dashboard for this indicator A5: ALL STUDENTS ENGLISH LEARNER PROGRESS INDICATOR (ELPI) The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the action of the school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the action of the school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the action of the school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the action of the school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the action of the school demonstrates achievement.	□ Other: (Specify)

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 10 of 19

25	NGELES UNIFIED
A	
FEADY	FOR THE WORLD

Affiliated Charter School Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

 □ The California School Dashboard All Students ELPI color is Blue □ The California School Dashboard All Students ELPI color is Green; or a Status (Percent) that is the same or higher than the state average 	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE) ☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD) ☐ Other: (Specify)
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students ELPI color is Yellow or Orange; and a Status (Percent) that is lower than the state average	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students ELPI color is Red	
☐ Not Available - No color assigned on the California School Dashboard for the ELPI	
A6: ALL STUDENTS COLLEGE/CAREER (CCI) INDICATOR- (GRADES 9-12)	
The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the ac	chievement gap, as measured by:
California School Dashboard All Students CCI (CDE)	
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Blue	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE)
The California School Deephoond All Students CCI colonia Croom on a Status (Dancent) that	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD)
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Green; or a Status (Percent) that	
is the same or higher than the state average	☐ Other: (Specify)
	•
is the same or higher than the state average ☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Yellow or Orange; and a Status	•
is the same or higher than the state average The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Yellow or Orange; and a Status (Percent) that is lower than the state average	•
is the same or higher than the state average ☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Yellow or Orange; and a Status (Percent) that is lower than the state average ☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Red	1
is the same or higher than the state average ☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Yellow or Orange; and a Status (Percent) that is lower than the state average ☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Red ☐ Not Available – No color assigned on the California School Dashboard for the CCI	•
is the same or higher than the state average ☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Yellow or Orange; and a Status (Percent) that is lower than the state average ☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Red ☐ Not Available – No color assigned on the California School Dashboard for the CCI ☐ Not Applicable - CCI is not applicable for the grade levels assigned at the charter school	□ Other: (Specify) chievement gap, as measured by:
is the same or higher than the state average ☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Yellow or Orange; and a Status (Percent) that is lower than the state average ☐ The California School Dashboard All Students CCI color is Red ☐ Not Available – No color assigned on the California School Dashboard for the CCI ☐ Not Applicable - CCI is not applicable for the grade levels assigned at the charter school A7: STUDENT GROUP COLLEGE/CAREER INDICATOR (CCI) - (GRADES 9-12) The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the actions.	□ Other: (Specify) chievement gap, as measured by:

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 11 of 19

05	NGELES UMIFIED
A	
FEAD	FOR THE WORLD

Affiliated Charter School Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

☐ All numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/Percent)	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE) ☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD)
☐ The majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/Percent)	☐ Other: (Specify)
☐ Less than a majority of the numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/Percent)	
☐ None of the school's numerically significant student groups scored higher than their respective student group statewide average (Status/Percent)	
☐ Not Available – No assessment of performance on the California School Dashboard for this indicator	
☐ Not Applicable - CCI is not applicable for the grade levels assigned at the charter school	

A8: ALL STUDENTS CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM INDICATOR- (GRADES K-8)

 The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by: California School Dashboard All Students Chronic Absenteeism Indicator (CDE) 		
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Blue	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE)	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Green; or	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD)	
a Status (Percent) that is the same or lower than the state average	☐ Other: (Specify)	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Yellow or		
Orange; and a Status (Percent) that is higher than the state average		
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Chronic Absenteeism Indicator color is Red		
notwithstanding the Status (Percent)		
☐ Not Available - No color assigned on the California School Dashboard for the Chronic		
Absenteeism Indicator		
☐ Not Applicable - The Chronic Absenteeism Indicator is not applicable for the grade		
levels assigned at the charter school		

A9: ALL STUDENTS GRADUATION RATE INDICATOR- (GRADES 9-12)

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:		
 California School Dashboard All Students Graduation Rate Indicator (CDE) 		
Performance Rubric Sources of Evidence		

Page 12 of 19

-	NGELES UNIFIED
A	
FEADY	FOR THE WORLD

Affiliated Charter School Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Graduation Rate Indicator color is Blue	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE)
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Graduation Rate Indicator color is Green; or a	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD)
Status (Percent) that is the same or higher than the state average	☐ Other: (Specify)
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Graduation Rate Indicator color is Yellow or	
Orange; and a Status (Percent) that is lower than the state average	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Graduation Rate Indicator color is Red	
☐ Not Available - No color assigned on the California School Dashboard for the	
Graduation Rate Indicator	
☐ Not Applicable - The Graduation Rate Indicator is not applicable for the grade levels	
assigned at the charter school	

A10: ALL STUDENTS SUSPENSION RATE INDICATOR- (GRADES K-12)

The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:	
 California School Dashboard All Students Suspension Rate Indicator (CDE) 	
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Suspension Rate Indicator color is Blue	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE)
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Suspension Rate Indicator color is Green; or a	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD)
Status (Percent) that is the same or lower than the state average	☐ Other: (Specify)
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Suspension Rate Indicator color is Yellow or	
Orange; and a Status (Percent) that is higher than the state average	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Suspension Rate Indicator color is Red	
☐ Not Available - No color assigned on the California School Dashboard for the	
Suspension Rate Indicator	

A11: INTERNAL ASSESSMENT - VERIFIED DATA IMPLEMENTATION

The information provided in this report on internal assessments is to determine the charter school's verified data implementation, as applicable. As part of renewal, as applicable, for charter schools that are identified by the California Department of Education (CDE) as Middle or Low Performing, the LAUSD Board shall consider schoolwide performance and performance of all student groups on the California School Dashboard and shall also consider clear and convincing evidence, demonstrated by verified data, showing either a) the school achieved measurable increases in academic achievement, as defined by at least one year's progress for each year in school or b) strong postsecondary outcomes equal to similar peers.

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 13 of 19



Affiliated Charter School Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

The LAUSD Board shall only consider verified data adopted by the State Board of Education pursuant to Ed. Code § 47607.2(c) (Link: Approved List). In addition, staff's review of the charter school's submitted materials will be based on the verified data sources adopted by the State Board of Education Ed. Code § 47607.2(c)(3).

Charter schools submitting verified data for this purpose mus	st adhere to the state-approved cri	teria.	
The information below is based on charter school's self-re	eported data and will not be sco	red.	
The charter school provided the following Verified Data for	consideration: Academic Prog	gress Indicator(s) and/or \square Postse	condary Indicator(s)
Academic Progress Indicator(s) for the 2023-2024 School			
Academic Progress Indicator (ELA): Choose an item.	Grade Levels: Click or tap here to enter text.	Assessment Administration: Choose an item.	95% Participation Met*: Choose an item.
Academic Progress Indicator (Math): Choose an item.	Grade Levels: Click or tap here to enter text.	Assessment Administration: Choose an item.	95% Participation Met*: Choose an item.
*If the charter school did not meet the 95% partic	ipation, the charter school's plan	to address the participation is inclu	ided in the Notes below.
 The charter school Choose an item. disaggregate students. The charter school Choose an item. that the assessment procedures. 			
3. The charter school provided the following publisher'	s verified data report(s):		
☐ i-Ready K-8 by Curriculum Associates: <i>Diagnost</i>	ic Growth		
☐ i-Ready 9-12 by Curriculum Associates: Academi	ic Progress Report		
☐ MAP Growth by NWEA: Student Growth Summe	ary Report		
☐ Star Assessment by Renaissance: Star Growth Re	port		
☐ Other: Click or tap here to enter text.			
\Box The charter school provided sections of the publis	sher's report, however it could no	t be used as verified data because i	t was not the complete report.
☐ The charter school provided school created report	s that are not considered verified	data.	
☐ The charter school did not provide the publisher's	s designated report to demonstrate	e one year's growth.	
As the date of this published report, the names of the above-	mentioned reports are the District	's current understanding from the p	oublisher.
Postsecondary Indicators (high school only): Postsecondary Indictor: Choose an item.			

- 1. The report provided by the charter school Choose an item. the results of at least 95% of eligible students. If the charter school did not meet the 95% participation rate, the charter school's plan to address the participation is included in the Notes below.
- 2. The report provided by the charter school Choose an item, the number of eligible students and missing or non-participating students.
- 3. The report provided by the charter school Choose an item, evidence of comparing the data to similar peers (which may include, but not limited to, similar demographics, pupil student groups, first-time college attendance, or other similar circumstances and if not available, comparison to statewide data).

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 14 of 19



SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

Notes:		
A12: ALL STUDENTS SCIENCE INDICATOR- (GRADES 5, 8, 10-12)		
The school demonstrates student academic achievement, including progress towards closing the achievement gap, as measured by:		
California School Dashboard All Students Science Indicator (CDE)		
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence	
The Science Indicator information is for informational purposes only and will not be scored.	☐ California School Dashboard (CDE)	
	☐ Office of Data & Accountability's Data Set (LAUSD)	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Science Indicator is higher than the state	☐ Other: (Specify)	
☐ The California School Dashboard All Students Science Indicator is lower than the state		
☐ Not Applicable - The Science Indicator is not applicable for the grade levels		
assigned at the charter school		

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 15 of 19

ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT, PROGRAMS, AND OPERATIONS This section provides a review of the school's implementation of the key features of the educational program described in the charter petition, stakeholder communication and involvement, transparency for stakeholders, and lottery and enrollment practices.	RATING*	
Summary of School Performance	Choose a rating	
Areas of Demonstrated Strength and/or Progress		
Areas Noted for Further Growth and/or Improvement		
Corrective Action Required		
Notes:		
Progress on LAUSD Board of Education Benchmarks and/or MOU related to ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT, PROGRAMS, AND OPERATIONS □ Not Applicable		
La Prot Application		

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 16 of 19



Affiliated Charter School Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

01: IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM

 The school has systems in place to ensure alignment to the curricular and educational program outlined in the approved charter petition by: Implementing key features of the educational program described in the charter Providing teacher, staff, and administrator professional development specific to supporting desired student outcomes and key features outlined in the school's charter 				
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence			
 □ The school has fully implemented the key features of the educational program outlined in the school's charter, provides professional development specific to supporting desired student outcomes and key features, and has achieved an overall rating of "3" or "4" in the Student Achievement and Educational Performance category of this year's oversight report □ The school has implemented the key features of the educational program outlined in the school's charter and provides professional development □ The school has partially implemented the key features of the educational program outlined in the school's charter □ The school has minimally implemented, or not at all, the key features of the educational program outlined in the school's charter 	 □ Documentation and/or other evidence of implementation of key features of the educational program described in the charter □ LCAP □ Professional development documentation □ Classroom observation □ Self-Reflection □ School website □ Discussion with school leadership □ Stakeholder focus group □ Other: (Specify) 			

O2: STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT AND INVOLVEMENT

The school has a system in place to ensure that the school:

- Implements a School Site Council (SSC) and/or English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), as applicable, in accordance with legal and District requirements (e.g., member composition of committee/council, required responsibilities, etc.)
- Provides parents, teachers, and students with meaningful opportunities for involvement and engagement that meet the requirements and goals of applicable federal and state law, the school's charter, the District's Strategic Plan, and the school's LCAP

Performance Rubric

Sources of Evidence

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 17 of 19



Affiliated Charter School Annual Performance-Based Oversight Visit Report

SCHOOL NAME: DATE OF VISIT:

☐ The school has a well-developed system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, encouraging involvement, and sharing information; and, as	☐ Documentation and/or other evidence of parent/stakeholder involvement and engagement
applicable, implements an SSC and/or ELAC in accordance with all legal and District requirements	☐ Documentation of SSC and ELAC meetings (e.g., calendars, agendas, minutes, rosters, and sign-in)
 □ The school has a system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, encouraging involvement, and sharing information; and, as applicable, implements an SSC and/or ELAC in accordance with all legal and District requirements □ The school has a partially developed system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, encouraging involvement, and sharing information □ The school has a minimal or no system for stakeholder engagement, including gathering input, encouraging involvement, and sharing information 	□ Self-Reflection □ LCAP □ School website □ Discussion with school leadership □ Stakeholder focus group

O3: SCHOOL IMPLEMENTATION OF LOTTERY AND ENROLLMENT PROCEDURES

The school has implemented compliant lottery and enrollment procedures.				
Performance Rubric	Sources of Evidence			
 □ The school has fully implemented lottery and enrollment procedures that are compliant with applicable laws and regulations, and District policy □ The school has implemented lottery and enrollment procedures that are compliant with applicable laws and regulations, and District policy □ The school has partially implemented lottery and enrollment procedures that are compliant with applicable laws and regulations, and District policy □ The school has not implemented lottery and enrollment procedures that are compliant with applicable laws and regulations, and District policy 	 □ Lottery instructions provided to parents □ Evidence of lottery implementation (if applicable) School website □ Discussion with school leadership □ Other: (Specify) 			

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 18 of 19

Review of Transparency and Stakeholder Information Compliance Items

The items below are expected to be evident at the charter school to ensure that it operates in a transparent manner and keeps all stakeholders informed. Failure to provide evidence of any of the applicable items below may lead to tiered intervention, as appropriate, and impact the overall rating in the Organizational Management, Programs, and Operations category.

Management, Programs, and Operations category.				
A charter school cannot receive a rating in this category greater than 3 if any of the items below are not evident.				
Item	Evident	Not Evident		
The following information posted to the school's website: □ LCAP, per Ed. Code § 47606.5(h)				
☐ Current Board agenda in compliance with Brown Act, per Gov. Code, § 54954.2 (a)(1) and (d). ☐ Policy on Pupil Suicide Prevention per Ed. Code § 234.6				
 □ Title IX information, including a link to CDE's Title IX website per Ed. Code § 234.6 □ Policies on anti-discrimination, anti-harassment, anti-intimidation, anti-bullying, and sexual harassment policies, including: anti-cyberbullying procedures, social media anti-bullying procedures, and a link to statewide resources including community-based organizations compiled by CDE, per Ed. Code § 234.6 				
Provides all stakeholders with appropriate, accessible, and relevant information about individual student and schoolwide academic progress and performance Ed. Code § 47605(c)(5)(C)				
Access to approved charter				
Documentation of informing parents/guardians about transferability of courses/course credit and eligibility to meet A-G requirements (high schools only), per Ed. Code § 47605				
		☐ Not applicable		
Informing parents/guardians of human trafficking prevention resources (grades 6-12), per Ed. Code § 49381				
		☐ Not applicable		
Notification of access to available mental health services (grades K-12), per Ed. Code § 49428.				
Charter schools serving any of the grades 6 to 12, shall prominently and conspicuously display a poster that identifies approaches and shares resources regarding pupil mental health in appropriate public areas that are accessible to, and commonly frequented by, pupils, per Ed. Code § 49428.5				
		☐ Not applicable		
Notes:				

Revised 12/12/2024 Page 19 of 19