

RUBRIC: EVALUATING COMMUNITY SCHOOL DESIGNATION APPLICATIONS 2021

KEY COMPONENT - HIGH-NEEDS					
	1- LOWEST As evidenced by school data:	2- MODERATE-LOW As evidenced by school data:	3 - HIGH As evidenced by school data:	4 - HIGHEST As evidenced by school data:	School's Data
SCHOOL'S SENI RANKING	Schools with 5-LOWEST SENI scores	Schools with 4-LOW or 3-MODERATE SENI Scores	Schools with 2-HIGH SENI scores	Schools with 1-HIGHEST SENI scores	
SCHOOL'S TITLE I RANKING	Schools with ranking 1000 and above	Schools with ranking 800-999	Schools with ranking 500-799	Schools with ranking 1-499	
SCHOOL'S % Of AFRICAN-AMERICAN STUDENTS	Schools with less than 15% of their student population being African American.	Schools with 15% -29% of their student population being African American.	Schools with 30%-50% of their student population being African American.	Schools with 51% or greater of their student population being African American.	
SCHOOL'S % OF FOSTER YOUTH	Schools with 0% of their student population being Foster Youth.	Schools with 1-2% of their student population being Foster Youth.	Schools with 3 -5% of their student population being Foster Youth.	Schools with 6% or greater of their student population being Foster Youth.	
SCHOOL'S % OF STUDENTS EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS	Schools with 0% of their student population experiencing homelessness.	Schools with 1-2% of their student population experiencing homelessness.	Schools with 3 -5% of their student population experiencing homelessness.	Schools with 6% or greater of their student population experiencing homelessness.	
KEY COMPONENT - CONNECTIONS					
	1- LOWEST As evidenced by responses throughout narrative proposal:	2- MODERATE-LOW As evidenced by responses throughout narrative proposal:	3 - HIGH As evidenced by responses throughout narrative proposal:	4 - HIGHEST As evidenced by responses throughout narrative proposal:	Evidence of a good answer
CONNECTIONS WITH NEIGHBORING SCHOOLS	School is considering working with neighboring schools but does not outline specific intent or does not mention desire for connections with feeder schools.	School is considering working with neighboring schools for student matriculation purposes only.	School is in early stages of developing collaboration with neighboring schools (early ed to adult ed) beyond issues of student matriculation to meet needs of students and families in the community.	School is actively collaborating with neighboring early ed. to adult ed school leaders to meet the needs of students and families in the community and/or school vision indicates this intent.	The rater sees <i>connection with neighboring schools</i> as part of the application in multiple sections of the narrative: Readiness, Pillar Work, Planning, and/or reflection

RUBRIC: EVALUATING COMMUNITY SCHOOL DESIGNATION APPLICATIONS 2021

			Or, school addresses the desire to develop collaborative endeavors beyond matriculation, to meet needs of students and families in the community.		
KEY COMPONENT - READINESS					
I. Visioning:	1 Insufficient Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing its vision for a community school.	2 Marginal Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing its vision for a community school.	3 Solid Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing its vision for a community school.	4 Exceptional Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing its vision for a community school.	Evidence of a good answer
<p>A. Describe your school community's vision as a Community School and the process by which you developed this vision. In your answer, reference the four pillars of community schools.</p> <p>B. Pick one school goal/objective that has previously been identified in the school's Single Plan for Student Achievement. How might adopting the Community Schools model help you achieve this goal? In your answer, reference the four pillars of community schools.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Vision is vague. <input type="checkbox"/> Process to develop the vision is unclear and/or only includes participation by school staff. <input type="checkbox"/> No mention of any pillars in the vision. <input type="checkbox"/> No existing goals are mentioned.	<input type="checkbox"/> Vision is somewhat defined. <input type="checkbox"/> Process to develop the vision is somewhat defined but only involves a few stakeholders. <input type="checkbox"/> Vision is developed in a few meetings. <input type="checkbox"/> One or more pillars is included in the vision. <input type="checkbox"/> Existing goals are mentioned but do not connect the Community Schools model to these goals.	<input type="checkbox"/> Vision is clearly articulated. <input type="checkbox"/> Process involves multiple stakeholder groups. <input type="checkbox"/> Process takes place over multiple meetings. <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more pillars are included in the vision. <input type="checkbox"/> Articulates existing goals defined by the school and describes how at least 1-2 pillars of the community school model will help the school achieve these goals.	<input type="checkbox"/> Vision is clearly articulated. <input type="checkbox"/> Process involves a large number of stakeholders representing all students, staff, families, and community. <input type="checkbox"/> Process shows a delineated timeline for the development of the vision. <input type="checkbox"/> All four pillars are included in the vision. <input type="checkbox"/> Articulates existing goals defined by the school and describes how more than 2 pillars of the community school model will help the school achieve these goals.	<input type="checkbox"/> School shows evidence of embracing the 4 pillars in their response. Weaving concepts of pillars to help achieve desired goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Students, staff, and families demonstrate ownership of the vision. <input type="checkbox"/> Asset mindset versus deficit mindset. <input type="checkbox"/> Student outcomes are not just about grades/test scores but also addresses issues of school climate. <input type="checkbox"/> Shows evidence that multiple stakeholders were engaged in the development of their vision statement.

RUBRIC: EVALUATING COMMUNITY SCHOOL DESIGNATION APPLICATIONS 2021

II. <i>Pillar Work: Pillar 1- Integrated Services:</i>	1 Insufficient Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing services for students.	2 Marginal Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing services for students.	3 Solid Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing services for students.	4 Exceptional Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing services for students.	Evidence of a good answer:
<p>A. What existing partnerships does your school have and how are they aligned to the school's vision, Single Plan and/or LCAP goals? Include details such as why the partnership started, when the partnership was established, which students/families the partnership serves, and what outcomes are expected from the partnership.</p> <p>B. How do you think becoming a Community School will help you expand/deepen integrated student supports at your school?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates preliminary awareness of community concerns/needs and how integrated services could address these needs. <input type="checkbox"/> There are no partnerships, or existing partnerships are not connected to student needs, the school's vision, Single Plan, or LCAP goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Desire to build on community needs / develop partnerships not well articulated. <input type="checkbox"/> School has experienced challenges in identifying partnerships but barriers to partnership are not clearly articulated. <input type="checkbox"/> No mention is made to how community schools will help expand / deepen student supports. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Has identified some potential partners. <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledges that a community school will be an asset for the entire community. <input type="checkbox"/> Expresses desire to build on community needs. <input type="checkbox"/> Existing partnerships may or may not be connected to student needs, school vision, Single Plan, or LCAP goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Limited mention is made to how community schools will help expand / deepen student supports. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Building/demonstrates intention of building relationships with community leaders and key influencers. <input type="checkbox"/> Already or has plans to partner with organizations to offer holistic and comprehensive services that complement the assets of the community. <input type="checkbox"/> Relationships with leaders concerned about healthy youth development. <input type="checkbox"/> Some contracts or MOUs with community organizations. <input type="checkbox"/> Intentional and purposeful partnerships based on school/student goals. <input type="checkbox"/> If school has experienced barriers in identifying partnerships, these barriers are clearly articulated. Discusses how the school has tried to overcome the barrier. <input type="checkbox"/> A good amount of information is shared about how community schools will help expand / deepen student supports. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Partners with other community organizations to jointly pursue funding for shared priorities. <input type="checkbox"/> Found ways to partner and combine core competencies to deliver comprehensive services and supports to students, families and the community. <input type="checkbox"/> Has existing MOUs and partnerships that align to the school's strategic goals and needs. <input type="checkbox"/> Community members serve on school governance structures/school leadership team. <input type="checkbox"/> Strong, detailed information is shared about how community schools will help expand / deepen students' support. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Links needs and services and connects them to the school plan. <input type="checkbox"/> Shows evidence of diverse categories of service providers. <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledges that connecting to harder to reach students/families is vital. <input type="checkbox"/> If there are not any extensive existing partnerships, school articulates a good understanding of the needs of students/ family/ community and desired partnerships. <input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates understanding of the community school model and how it can help strengthen existing partnerships or position the school to develop them.

RUBRIC: EVALUATING COMMUNITY SCHOOL DESIGNATION APPLICATIONS 2021

<p>II. Pillar Work: Pillar 2- Expanded and Enriched Learning Opportunities</p>	<p align="center">1 Insufficient Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing learning opportunities for students.</p>	<p align="center">2 Marginal Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing learning opportunities for students.</p>	<p align="center">3 Solid Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing learning opportunities for students.</p>	<p align="center">4 Exceptional Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing learning opportunities for students.</p>	<p align="center">Evidence of a good answer:</p>
<p>Explain how your school determines what types of expanded and enriched learning opportunities are provided for students. Provide an example of a specific expanded or enriched learning opportunity that your schools has provided. How was this opportunity/ these opportunities funded? What evidence supports the continued use of this opportunity/these opportunities or what evidence supports a discontinuance of this/these opportunities?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No enrichment opportunities are described. <input type="checkbox"/> Expanded learning opportunities are remediation or intervention in nature. <input type="checkbox"/> Funding sources may or may not be identified. <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence is not provided or is vague. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No enrichment opportunities are described. <input type="checkbox"/> Expanded learning opportunities are of a prevention or intervention nature. <input type="checkbox"/> Funding sources are identified but may be vague. <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence is provided but may be vague. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Enrichment opportunities are offered to 1 or 2 specific subgroups. <input type="checkbox"/> Expanded learning opportunities are of a prevention, intervention, or acceleration nature. <input type="checkbox"/> Funding sources for enrichment and expanded learning opportunities are clearly identified. <input type="checkbox"/> Provides evidence supporting why the school has chosen these enrichment and expanded learning opportunities or has a way of determining what their evidence would be. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Enrichment opportunities are offered to multiple student subgroups. <input type="checkbox"/> Expanded learning opportunities are of a prevention, intervention, and acceleration nature. <input type="checkbox"/> Funding sources are clearly identified. <input type="checkbox"/> Provides examples/ evidence supporting why the school has chosen these enrichment and expanded learning opportunities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Speaks to opportunities beyond remediation and intervention. <input type="checkbox"/> Speaks to culturally relevant curriculum. <input type="checkbox"/> Opportunities reflect what the community values/community culture. <input type="checkbox"/> Includes what the community can offer toward students' education. <input type="checkbox"/> Tells how the pillars support families as well as students.

RUBRIC: EVALUATING COMMUNITY SCHOOL DESIGNATION APPLICATIONS 2021

<p>II. Pillar Work: Pillar 3- Parent/Family and Community Engagement</p>	<p align="center">1 Insufficient Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.</p>	<p align="center">2 Marginal Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.</p>	<p align="center">3 Solid Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.</p>	<p align="center">4 Exceptional Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.</p>	<p align="center">Evidence of a good answer:</p>
<p>How are parents and families currently engaged with the school? What innovative ways are you deepening parent/family investment and ownership of your school? Provide specific examples. What is the vision for parent and family engagement as a Community School?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> A limited vision for family engagement is evident. <input type="checkbox"/> Very little effort to engage diverse groups of families is exhibited. <input type="checkbox"/> Parent involvement is limited to social activities (award assemblies, festivals, movie night...). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> A vision for family engagement as true partners in learning is somewhat evident. <input type="checkbox"/> Some effort to engage diverse groups of families through written or verbal notification. <input type="checkbox"/> Parent involvement includes social activities, compliance activities (i.e. ELAC, SSC, and Title 1 meetings). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> A moderate to strong vision for family engagement as true partners in learning and/or decision making is evident. <input type="checkbox"/> Provides examples of family involvement beyond social and compliance-based activities. <input type="checkbox"/> Provides examples of leadership development and capacity building opportunities that are currently offered or will be offered for families. <input type="checkbox"/> Describes efforts to engage families that have been historically uninvolved or under involved. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong vision for family engagement as true partners in learning and decision making is evident. <input type="checkbox"/> Describes significant efforts to engage families that have been historically uninvolved or under involved using differentiated outreach methods. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Current examples or desires for expanded family engagement. <input type="checkbox"/> Intergenerational participation. <input type="checkbox"/> Shows evidence of targeting and being responsive to diverse groups of parents/families. <input type="checkbox"/> Two-way communication with families. <input type="checkbox"/> Family involvement shows parents engaged and invested in the child's learning outcomes and advancement. <input type="checkbox"/> Describes process from engagement to investment. <input type="checkbox"/> Provides quantitative examples of family engagement (e.g. # of families doing certain things).

RUBRIC: EVALUATING COMMUNITY SCHOOL DESIGNATION APPLICATIONS 2021

<p>II. Pillar Work: Pillar 4- Collaborative Leadership Practices:</p>	<p align="center">1 Insufficient Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.</p>	<p align="center">2 Marginal Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.</p>	<p align="center">3 Solid Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.</p>	<p align="center">4 Exceptional Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.</p>	<p align="center">Evidence of a good answer</p>
<p>A. Describe a specific project that brought together different stakeholders (e.g., teachers, parents, etc.) to meet a school need. How was collaboration fostered among the different stakeholders? How did you deepen investment, ownership, and leadership of staff/students/families?</p> <p>B. What is the vision for collaborative leadership at your school and how does it compare to where you are today? In framing this response, please consider the role of the principal, teachers, school staff, parents, students and community members, as well as leadership structures within the school and/or community.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No mention of collaboration between school leadership and staff. <input type="checkbox"/> No mention of families working to improve the school. <input type="checkbox"/> Little to no information given on a vision of collaborative leadership. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Faculty and principal working toward or stating the desire to establish collaborative relationships for making decisions about school management and operations. <input type="checkbox"/> School leadership team shares ownership and accountability for how students are supported and perform. <input type="checkbox"/> Some information given on a vision of collaborative leadership. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Faculty and principal working toward or stating the desire to establish collaborative relationships for making decisions about school management and operations. <input type="checkbox"/> Families, staff & community are seen as key stakeholders. <input type="checkbox"/> Regular meetings between principal and site teams; infrequent meetings of other key stakeholder groups. <input type="checkbox"/> Established decision-making and communication processes between school stakeholders. <input type="checkbox"/> Good amount of information given on a vision of collaborative leadership. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated leadership team; Families, staff & community seen as key decision makers. <input type="checkbox"/> Collaborative leadership of school by school administration, staff, parents, students and community. Parent, student, and community leaders influence the design and delivery of programs. <input type="checkbox"/> Regular meetings keeping decision-makers informed and engaged. <input type="checkbox"/> Articulates a strong vision of collaborative leadership. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Training is provided. <input type="checkbox"/> Assessment and acknowledgment of stakeholder skills and assets. <input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrated ability to incorporate stakeholder skills, assets and input. <input type="checkbox"/> Trust and relationship building. <input type="checkbox"/> Speaks to restorative practice.

RUBRIC: EVALUATING COMMUNITY SCHOOL DESIGNATION APPLICATIONS 2021

III. <i>Planning:</i>	1 Insufficient Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	2 Marginal Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	3 Solid Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	4 Exceptional Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	Evidence of a good answer:
How will your school incorporate the assets and needs of school, family, and community in the Single Plan for Student Achievement for 2021-2022?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Did not express willingness or desire to document and evaluate process of becoming a community school. <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies only needs and does not acknowledge assets within students, families, and the community for implementing culturally responsive teaching and learning. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Expressed desire to document and evaluate process of becoming a community school. <input type="checkbox"/> Identify and acknowledge assets within students' families and the community for implementing culturally responsive teaching and learning. <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies use of evidence-based pedagogy and practices, used to improve teaching and learning. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Describes plan and process for documenting and evaluating becoming a community school. <input type="checkbox"/> Collection of anecdotal reports of program impact on students and families. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrated commitment to problem solving using the tools of Improvement Science. <input type="checkbox"/> Extensively incorporates the needs and assets of school, family and community in the Single Plan for Student Achievement. <input type="checkbox"/> Describes multiple approaches and sources for data gathering. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Includes mention of tools of Improvement Science such as fishbone diagrams, PDSA cycles, and driver diagrams. There were multiple opportunities for stakeholder input to determine needs and assets. <input type="checkbox"/> There were diverse stakeholder groups who provided input. <input type="checkbox"/> The SPSA was shared with a variety of advisory and decision-making bodies at the school.
IV. <i>Student-Centered Data and Supports:</i>	1 Insufficient Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	2 Marginal Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	3 Solid Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	4 Exceptional Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	Evidence of a good answer:
How does your school employ multi-tiered systems of support (MTSS) to address student academic success, social-emotional development, and student behavior?"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement of need for data, research and evidence-based practices to impact student academic success, social-emotional development and student behavior. <input type="checkbox"/> Awareness of supports that focus on the whole child. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identify and acknowledge assets within students, families and the community for implementing culturally responsive teaching and learning. <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies use of evidence-based pedagogy and practices used to improve teaching and learning. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Multi-tiered systems of support informed by student and data and evidence-based practices. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies the use of evidence-based practices for informing teaching and learning practices. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Describes a system of management (ie; MiSiS). <input type="checkbox"/> Describes SSPT (<i>Student Support and Progress Team</i>): composition of team, frequency of meetings, referral process, etc... <input type="checkbox"/> Explains parent involvement in MTSS

RUBRIC: EVALUATING COMMUNITY SCHOOL DESIGNATION APPLICATIONS 2021

V. Sustainability	1 Insufficient Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	2 Marginal Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	3 Solid Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	4 Exceptional Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative.	Evidence of a good answer:
<p>How will your school ensure ongoing operations of the Community School model regardless of changes at the school, district, or community level (e.g., changes in school leadership, funding sources, available community partners, etc.)?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No commitment expressed to maintain the Community School model after 2 years.	<input type="checkbox"/> Commitment expressed to maintain the Community School model but no strategies to maintain the role of the Community School Coordinator.	<input type="checkbox"/> Commitment to maintain the Community School model. <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies strategies to retain the Community School Coordinator position.	<input type="checkbox"/> Describes proposals for realignment of funds (Title 1 and LCAP, etc.). <input type="checkbox"/> Describes potential grants and other avenues to retain the Community School Coordinator. <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledges the Community School Coordinator as an integral part of the school.	<input type="checkbox"/> Realignment of categorical program funds to match Community Schools priorities. <input type="checkbox"/> Grant writing committee/ work group pursues a variety of funding opportunities.
VI. Reflection:	1 Insufficient Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing the school's reflection process.	2 Marginal Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing the school's reflection process.	3 Solid Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing the school's reflection process.	4 Exceptional Response As demonstrated by the school's narrative describing the school's reflection process.	Evidence of a good answer:
<p>Share what process you facilitated to ensure the voices of teachers, staff, families, and community partners are included in this plan.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Fewer than 40% of stakeholders voted in favor of becoming a Community School. <input type="checkbox"/> 15% of all stakeholders engaged in the voting process. <input type="checkbox"/> The school held a vote, but did not demonstrate evidence that they dedicated much time or effort to stakeholder engagement that is required in the application process. <input type="checkbox"/> Community was not involved in the application process.	<input type="checkbox"/> 40% - 59% voted in favor of becoming a Community School. <input type="checkbox"/> 25% of all stakeholders engaged in the voting process. <input type="checkbox"/> The school held a vote and demonstrated evidence that they dedicated some time and effort to stakeholder engagement that is required in the application process. <input type="checkbox"/> Community was involved in the application process.	<input type="checkbox"/> 60% - 79% voted in favor of becoming a Community School. <input type="checkbox"/> 35% of all stakeholders engaged in the voting process. <input type="checkbox"/> The school held a vote and demonstrated evidence that they dedicated significant time and effort to stakeholder engagement that is required in the application process. <input type="checkbox"/> Community was involved in the community schools process from the application stage.	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 80% voted in favor of becoming a Community School. <input type="checkbox"/> 50% of all stakeholders engaged in the voting process. <input type="checkbox"/> The school held a vote and demonstrated evidence that they dedicated significant time and effort to stakeholder engagement that is required in the application process. <input type="checkbox"/> Community was extensively involved in the application process.	<input type="checkbox"/> There were a variety of opportunities for stakeholders to give input at various dates and times. <input type="checkbox"/> Input was collected in multiple ways.